IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

FRIDAY, THE 25TH MAY 2007 / 4TH JYAISHTA 1929

WP(C).No. 15880 of 2007(M)

PETITIONER:

SAJUMON, PROPRIETOR, THANIKKAL ENTERPRISES,
PARAYARU VILAYIL, KANNANKARA BHAGAM,
PANMANA, KARUNAGAPPALLY.

BY ADV. SRI.NAGARAJ NARAYANAN
SRI.SAIJO HASSAN
SRI.A.S.SABU

RESPONDENTS:

1. STATE OF KERALA, REP. BY THE SECRETARY TO GOVT. FOREST DEPT., SECRETARIAT, TRIVANRUM.
2. D.F.O., KOTTAVABAL, THENMALA,KOLLAM.
3. SALES TAX OFFICER, SALES TAX OFFICE, KARUNAGAPPALLY.
4. S.I. OF POLICE, THENMALA POLICE STATION, THENMALA, KOLLAM DIST.
5. S.I. OF POLICE, ALAPPUZHA.

BY G.P. SHRI.I.V.PRAMOD.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 25/05/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

S.SIRI JAGAN, J.

==========

W.P.(C).No.15880 of 2007

==========

Dated this the 25th day of May, 2007

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner claims to be a dealer in sand. He states that he is transporting river sand from Tamilnadu after obtaining necessary permits and sales tax registration. He has filed this writ petition for the following relief:

> "i) issue a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, direction or order, directing the respondents 2, 4 and 5 not to detain the vehicles transporting river sand to the petitioner's retail business covered by Exhibit P2 registration."

However, the petitioner has not even mentioned any single instance in which the petitioner's lorry has been detained. In the absence of any material to show that the respondents have been doing anything illegal, I do not think that this court would be justified in issuing such a general order. I do not find any merit in the writ petition and accordingly, the same is dismissed.

Sd/-

sdk+ S.SIRI JAGAN, JUDGE

///True copy///

P.A. to Judge.